

From: [Wayne Cook](#)
To: [Medworth](#)
Subject: Incinerator medworth wisbech
Date: 09 March 2023 06:05:05

I'm writing this follow up email from 22nd febraury 2023 at the planning inspectorate meeting at the Boathouse in Wisbech and i just want to say before i continue that i owe you a apology.

For not using my 5 minutes i had been given to speak i'd lost my train of thought and as you can tell i'm not used to these sorts of things and being heckled the way i was certainly was off putting.

Anyway while i was listerning to everyone 's objections to these proposals i could'nt help but realise what they where saying just does'nt hold up its full of holes like you would'nt believe.

After some consideration i felt i did'nt do MVV any justice with having very little to say in there defence which i feel very badly about but having explored and reserached alittle or looked into this matter it seems there is no easy answer to these issues.

However one of the topics that was raised by one of those that spoke that objected to the incinerator said that she believes all ash from the incinerator would end up in land fill that is absolutely wrong and false.

Because that arguement could work the other way in regards to recycling how does the rest of the uk population know whether or not that most of there waste does'nt get recycled or not.

Because like i have said before i don't believe these councils recycle has much has they claim they do.

As i pointed out to you at the meeting we are shipping or flying out our waste for other countries to deal with which is not really reducing out carbon foot print or polluntion for that matter.

I've lived in wisbech most of my life and there as been children i grew up with and went to school with at the very school close to where the incinerator is being proposed now there are already factories in that vicinity that is spewing out stuff.

That could protentually be harmful pollutants i noticed no fuss was made then and i don't see how it's related now if it was as bad has some people are making out i don't think that our parents would have allowed there children to attend those schools.

With what research i've come across so far you're getting.

1.) Pollution from recycling and with some or most of it ending up in landfill which either way you look at it is not good for the environment and most of all it's one big con.

2.) Landfills causes the most pollution put together with realeasing the most pollutants but also dangerous gases that also can have a major impact on public health which seems funny that nobody brings that up

3.) Now i'm not going to pretend that incinerators are the anwser to our waste problems or the pollution that comes from them but i believe with the technology and willpower and determation which i believe these incinerators already have to stop harmful pollutants affecting public health.

Bearing in mind that most of the population is exposed to something dangerous everyday that nobody seems to care about raising any other time except when the word incinerator gets mentioned.

Which i feel is not justified we need a alternative to our current waste problem and recycling alone will not solve the problem so what else do you have other than nuclear.

Which i would'nt support because lets not forget Chernobyl or Fukushima and look how that turned out.

I know you will have to make a decision and i know it's difficult decision to make but i hope that you will throughly weigh up the pros & cons in granting this incinerator the go ahead.

Because looking into the reseach so far and weighing up the lesser of the two evils i would suggest the incinerator is a far better option has nobody in authority as the capabilities to see through there **responsibilities** to the environment or recycling for that matter.

I would like to take this opportunity to say a big thank you for taking the time to listen to what i had to say and hopefully will hear and see you again soon Mr Cook.

[Sent from Yahoo Mail on Android](#)